

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of VirginiaCLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
AT ROANOKE VA. - FILED

MAR 26 2008

BY: JOHN F. CORCORAN, CLERK
DEPUTY CLERK

United States of America)

v.)

June Dominique Otey)

Case No: 7:05CR00042-001USM No: 11465-084Date of Previous Judgment: December 13, 2005

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 60 months is reduced to 48 months.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)Previous Offense Level: 21Amended Offense Level: 19Criminal History Category: VCriminal History Category: VPrevious Guideline Range: 70 to 87 monthsAmended Guideline Range: 57 to 71 months**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
☒ Other (explain):


The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a variance based on evidence presented at sentencing, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

The factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) having been considered, the government's objections to the reduction are overruled.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 12/13/2005 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.Order Date: March 26, 2008


 Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
 (if different from order date)

James C. Turk, Senior United States District Judge
 Printed name and title